

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)  
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)  
2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220  
Miami, FL 33133-5402  
Telephone: (305) 330-5512  
Facsimile: (305) 676-9006  
E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

VENUS TRAN, on behalf of herself and all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BOSTON UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Plaintiff Venus Tran (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of herself and all others  
2 similarly situated against Defendant Boston University (“BU” or “Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the  
3 following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and  
4 belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal  
5 knowledge.

6 **NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

7 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for  
8 the Spring 2020 academic term (the “Spring Term”) at Boston University, and who, because of  
9 BU’s response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, lost the benefit of  
10 the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees were paid, without  
11 having their tuition and fees refunded to them.

12 2. BU is a large private university with an enrollment of approximately 34,657  
13 students. The university is organized into 18 school and colleges on two campuses and offers more  
14 than 300 programs of study. BU also operates an online program offering more than 44 online  
15 degree programs.

16 3. On March 17, 2020, through a news release from its President Robert A. Brown, BU  
17 announced that because of the COVID-19 pandemic, all in-person classes would be suspended  
18 through the end of the Spring Term. The announcement informed students that all classes would  
19 instead be held remotely through online formats for the remainder of the Spring Term.

20 4. Since March 17, 2020, BU has not held any in-person classes. Classes that have  
21 continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.

22 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant’s facilities, Defendant has not delivered the  
23 educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Tran and the putative class  
24 contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to BU students are subpar in  
25 practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have  
26 been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and  
27 critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that  
28 Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.

6. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if BU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

7. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Term when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided and for the entirety of the Spring Term, during which all classes have been held online and no campus services have been available. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

#### **PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff Venus Tran is a citizen of California who resides in San Jose, California. Ms. Tran is a graduate student at BU's Medical Sciences program. Ms. Tran paid more than \$28,000 in tuition and fees to Defendant for the Spring Term. She has not been provided a refund of any tuition monies and certain fees that were paid, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 17, 2020.

9. Defendant Boston University is a private institution of higher learning with its principal place of business located at 1 Silber Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02215.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Defendant conducts substantial business in this District and has sufficient minimum contacts with California. Defendant has solicited students residing in California to attend its institution; has accepted money,

1 including application fees, tuition, and other fees from students residing in California, has websites  
2 accessible to students in California, has entered into contracts with California residents, and  
3 generally has minimum contacts in California sufficient to satisfy the Due Process Clauses of the  
4 California and United States Constitutions.

5 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts  
6 and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff is a  
7 resident of the state in which the District is located. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of  
8 this action was formed in this District.

9 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10 **Plaintiff and Class Members Paid Tuition and Fees for the Spring Term**

11 13. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other  
12 mandatory fees for the Spring Term at BU.

13 14. The Spring Term at BU began on or about January 21, 2020 and final examinations  
14 were scheduled to end on or about May 9, 2020.

15 15. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Term. They also  
16 paid other mandatory university fees associated with the Spring Term, including Student Services  
17 fees and Health & Wellness fees.

18 16. Approximate tuition costs at BU for the Spring Term are \$28,427.

19 17. Fees paid by or on behalf of BU students vary based on program of study. By way  
20 of example, undergraduate students pay fees of approximately \$609 per semester while law  
21 students pay \$656 per semester, and graduate students in the medical sciences program pay \$378  
22 per semester. Many students are required to pay additional fees associated with specific in-person  
23 courses (e.g. laboratory courses, visual arts courses).

24 18. The tuition and fees described in the paragraphs above are provided by way of  
25 example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that  
26 were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

**In Response To COVID-19, BU Closed Campuses and Cancelled All In-Person Classes**

19. On March 17, 2020, BU announced via news release that because of the COVID-19 pandemic, all in-person classes would be suspended effective immediately. The announcement informed students that all classes would instead be held remotely through online formats.

20. Since March 17, 2020, BU has not held any in-person classes. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.

21. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for, including but not limited to critical in-class lectures and presentations. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if BU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

22. The online learning options being offered to BU students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person presentations, dialogue, feedback, and critique.

23. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for tuition for the Spring Term.

24. BU students paid a higher price for an in-person education than they would have paid for an online education. This is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in BU's in-person, on-campus programs versus BU's own online learning programs. For example, the tuition for Spring Term for classes in the School of Social Work is \$850 per credit. BU also offers online classes in the Graduate Medical School for as little as \$480 per credit. The cost of one credit of an in-person education at BU is **nearly double** the cost of an online education at the same university.

25. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Term when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

## CLASS ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid BU tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that BU failed to provide during the Spring Term, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the “Class”). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant’s officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant’s officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge’s immediate family.

27. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in California (the “Subclass”).

28. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.

29. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

30. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over

any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
- (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted; and
- (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide.
- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (d) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.

31. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.

32. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

33. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of

inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

34. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:

- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
- (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

**COUNT I**  
**Breach of Contract**  
**(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

36. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.

37. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

38. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration, Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for the Spring



Term. Tuition for the Spring Term was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.

39. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring Term tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

40. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.

41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but not be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU shut down on March 17, 2020.

42. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

**COUNT II**  
**Unjust Enrichment**  
**(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

44. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

45. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in

1 the form of monies paid for Spring Term tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and  
2 promises. Tuition for Spring Term was intended to cover in-person educational services from  
3 January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to  
4 in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.

5 46. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.

6 47. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the  
7 education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making  
8 Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the  
9 pro-rated portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU  
10 shut down on March 17, 2020.

11 48. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant  
12 should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

13 **COUNT III**  
**Conversion**

14 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

15 49. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding  
16 paragraphs of this complaint.

17 50. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class  
18 and Subclass against Defendant.

19 51. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-  
20 person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Term  
21 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

22 52. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and  
23 Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational  
24 services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.

25 53. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the pro-rated  
26 portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU shut  
27 down on March 17, 2020.  
28

54. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.

55. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.

56. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU shut down on March 17, 2020.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seek judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

1 Dated: May 5, 2020

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

2 By: /s/ L. Timothy Fisher

3 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)  
4 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
5 Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
6 Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

7 Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)  
8 2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220  
9 Miami, FL 33133-5402  
10 Telephone: (305) 330-5512  
Facsimile: (305) 676-9006  
E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*